SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

Licensing Sub-Committee

Meeting held 18 June 2012

PRESENT: Councillors John Robson (Chair), Geoff Smith and Stuart Wattam

.....

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 No apologies for absence were received. Councillor lan Saunders attended the meeting as a reserve Member, but was not required to stay.

2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

2.1 **RESOLVED:** That the public and press be excluded from the meeting before discussion takes place on the item of business to be considered on the grounds that, if the public and press were present during the transaction of such business, there would be a disclosure to them of exempt information as described in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972, as amended.

3. HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE LICENSING - INDIVIDUAL CASES*

- 3.1 The Chief Licensing Officer submitted details in respect of two cases relating to Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing.
- 3.2 The applicant in Case No. 46/12 attended the hearing with a representative and they both addressed the Sub-Committee.
- 3.3 The licence holder in Case No. 47/12 was not able to attend the hearing.
- 3.4 RESOLVED: That the cases now submitted be determined as follows:-

Case No.	Licence Type	<u>Decision</u>
46/12	• •	In the light of the exceptional circumstances of the case, grant a licence for the normal term of 12 months.
47/12	Review of a Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Driver's Licence	In the light of the circumstances now reported orally by the Chief Licensing Officer, the Sub-Committee agrees to ratify the decision taken by the Chief Licensing Officer, acting under delegated powers, to suspend the licence, with immediate effect,

under Section 61 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, as amended by Section 52 of the Road Safety Act 2006.

(NOTE: In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 26 of the Council's Constitution and the provisions of Section 100B(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended, the Chair decided that Case No.47/12 be considered as a matter of urgency in order for the case to be considered at the earliest possible opportunity, although it had not been possible to give five clear days' notice that the case was to be considered).